

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/887,464	06/22/2001	Gerard H. Llanos	CRD-0929	8413	
27777 7	590 12/03/2002				
AUDLEY A.	CIAMPORCERO JR	EXAMINER			
JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA			FERKO, KATHRYN P		
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003		3	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3743		
			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Annliantian	No	Applicant(a)				
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany		09/887,464		LLANOS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	The MAIL INC DATE of this communication com	Kathryn Fer		3743	Idross			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) 🖂								
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-51</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.							
•	⊠ Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) <u>18-51</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requ	uirement.					
·· _	on Papers The energification is objected to by the Evernine	\ -						
-	The specification is objected to by the Examine		biacted to by the Ever	minor				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5		/ (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P⁻				

Application/Control Number: 09/887,464 Page 2

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 18-51 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected Group and Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 7.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 11 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claims 11 is identical to claim 10, both of which depend on claim 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/887,464

Art Unit: 3743

published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-12 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or e) as being anticipated by Ragheb et al.

Ragheb et al. disclose a local drug delivery apparatus having a medical device for implantation into a treatment site of a living organism, as recited in column 3, lines 5-22; at least one agent (such as 18) in therapeutic dosages releasably affixed to the medical device for the treatment of reactions by the living organism caused by the medical device or the implantation thereof, as recited in column 3, lines 14-22 and seen in figures 1-3; a material for preventing the at least one agent from separating from the medical device prior to implantation of the medical device at the treatment site, the material being affixed to at least one of the medical device or a delivery system for the medical device, as recited in column 10, lines 24-67, column 11, lines 1-3, and seen in figure 3; a medical device that is an intraluminal medical device, more specifically a stent, as stated in column 3, lines 6-15; at least one agent that is an anti-proliferative, as stated in column 3, lines 62-65; at least one agent that is an anti-inflammatory, as stated in column 3, lines 62-65; at least one agent that is an anti-coagulant, as stated in column 8, lines 25-37 and column 9, lines 27-60; at least one agent that is an immunosuppressant, as stated in column 9, lines 50-55; at least one agent is a non-viral gene introducer, as stated in column 9, lines 45-47; a material for preventing the at least one agent from separating from the medical device is a

Application/Control Number: 09/887,464 Page 4

Art Unit: 3743

lubricious coating, as recited in column 20, lines 63-67, where lubricious coating is incorporated onto the medical device, as seen in figures 1-3; a lubricious coating is incorporated onto the delivery system for the medical device, as stated in column 18, lines 60-67, column 19, lines 1-20, and column 20, lines 63-67; a material for preventing the at least one agent from separating from the medical device that is a water soluble powder, as stated in column 23, lines 31-34; a water soluble powder is incorporated onto the medical device, as stated in column 23, lines 31-34; water soluble powder that has an anti-oxidant, as recited in column 10, lines 1-3 and column 23, lines 31-34; and a water soluble powder that has an anti-coagulant, as stated in column 8, lines 25-37 and column 23, lines 31-34.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ragheb et al.

Ragheb et al. disclose the invention as claimed with the exception of a lubricious coating that is a silicone-based material. However, given the versatility of the invention it would fall within the scope, and thus be obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/887,464

Art Unit: 3743

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-17 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 12-14, 17, and 28-36 of copending Application No. 09/962,496. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are merely a broader recitation.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure are as follows: US Patent No. 6,273,913; US Patent No. 6,379,382; US Patent No. 6,387,121; US Patent No. 6,429,232; and US Patent No. 6,403,635.

Application/Control Number: 09/887,464

Art Unit: 3743

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathryn Ferko whose telephone number is (703) 306-

3454. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) First Friday Off.

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry A Bennett can be reached on (703) 308-0101. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

KF

November 27, 2002

Herry Bennett
Supervisor Patent Examiner